UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,572	10/10/2000	Thomas James Dubil	US000183	4775

24737   7590   02/04/2008
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER
TRAN, MYLINH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2179	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/686,572	DUBIL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mylinh Tran	2179

All Participants:

(1) *Mylinh Tran*.

(2) *Tom Kocovsky* .

Status of Application: _____

(3) *Weilun Lo*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *28 January 2008*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Finality of the Office Action mailed 10/09/07 has been withdrawn and a new Office Action will be issued.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)